The Government has from time to time instructed all land owning agencies/local bodies to take necessary steps for removal of such encroachments according to which concerned land owning agencies/ stakeholders are to take further action.

New Towns under IDSMT Scheme

629. SHRI SK. KHABIR UDDIN AHMED: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have identified new towns for development under the Centrally Sponsored Scheme for the Integrated Development of Small and Medium Towns during the current financial year, State-wise;

(b) the allocation for development of new towns during the current financial year, State-wise; and

(c) the number of towns developed with basic facilities for proper sanitation, health centres, electricity, drinking water facility, schools and colleges since 1979-80 to March 2003, State-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION (SHRI BANDARU DATTATRAYA): (a) Yes, Sir. During the current financial year 2003-04, 111 new towns have been identified by various State Governments till 25th November, 2003 as per details given in Statement-I (*See* below)

(b) The tentative allocation under the scheme during the current financial year has been indicated as per details given in Statement-II (*See* below)

(c) Under IDSMT scheme, assistance is given for the selective schemes/project components like drains, provision of street lights etc. The basic facilities like sanitation excluding drainage, health centres, drinking water facilities, schools and colleges do not come within the purview of this scheme. The scheme has covered 1340 small and medium towns during the period from 1979-80 to March, 2003 as per details given in Statement-III.

Statement-I

Towns Identified by State Governments for Coverage under IDSMT Scheme during 2003-04 (As on November 25, 2003)

State	S.No.	Town
Andhra Pradesh	1.	Palakol
	2.	Punganur
	3.	Guntakal
	4.	Sathenapalli
	5.	Yemmiganur
	6.	Tadipatri
	7.	Peddapuram
Arunachal Pradesh	8.	Pasighat
	9.	Khonsa
	10.	Deomali
	11.	Yupia
	12.	Ziro
	13.	Daporijo
	14.	Along
	15.	Basar
Bihar	16.	Motipur
	17.	Kanti
	18.	Barh
	19.	Jamui
	20.	Fatuha
	21.	Lalganj

State	S.No.	Town
	22.	Motihari
	23.	Dehri
Chhattisgarh	24.	Kumhari
	25.	Mahasamund
	26.	Ambikapur
	27.	Kurud
	28.	Gandal
Gujarat	29.	Vadnagar
	30.	Jambusar
	31.	Kheralu
	32.	Garlyadhar
	33.	Vapi
	34.	Chhota Udepur
	35.	Shinor
	36.	Halol
Haryana	37.	Laldua
	38.	Indri
	39.	Assanth
	40.	Kaithal
	41.	Safidon
	42.	Gohana
Himachal Pradesh	43.	Narkanda
	44.	Narpur
Jammu & Kashmir	45.	Udhampur

State	S.No.	Town
	46.	Katra
	47.	Ramnagar
	48.	Rajouri
Karnataka	49.	Kanakapura
	50.	Mudabidre
	51.	Naragunda
	52.	Kunigal
	53.	Tiptur
	54.	Gubbi
	55.	Raibag
	56.	Gokak
	57.	Turuvukere
	58.	Kuduchi
Kerala	59.	Vaikom
	60.	Attingal
Madhya Pradesh	61.	Aagar
	62.	Chakghat
	63.	Karera
	64.	Baikunthpur
	65.	Sanwer
	66.	Chanderi
	67.	Akoda
	68.	Kareli
	69.	Katangi

State	S.No.	Town
	70.	Sironji
	71.	Wara-Seoni
	72.	Udaipura
	73.	Samariya
	74.	Badagaon
Maharashtra	75.	Gadchiroli
	76.	Yavatmal
	77.	Ratnagiri
	78.	Parlivaijnath
	79.	Ambajogai
	80.	Bhandara
	81.	Jalna
	82.	Kanranjia
	83.	Chopda
	84.	Barshi
	85.	Hingoli
	86.	Osmanabad
	87.	Chiplum
Nagaland	88.	Kohima
Orissa	89.	Cuttack
Rajasthan	90.	Anupgarh
	91.	Phalodi
	92.	Sadulshahar
	93.	Sujangarh

State	S.No.	Town
	94.	Sangaria
	95.	Pilibanga
Uttaranchal	96.	Kotdwar
Uttar Pradesh	97.	Hardoi
	98.	Mehmoodabad
	99.	Jhinjhak
	100.	Sikandara
	101.	Saidpur
	102.	Bithur
	103.	Sakit
	104.	Aligarh
	105.	Vrindavan
	106.	Siswabazar
	107.	Erich
	108.	Khurja
	109.	Kakrala
	110.	Mehroni
Pondicherry	111.	Pondicherry

Statement II

Tantative allocation of central assistance to States & UT under IDSMT Scheme for FY-2003-04

Total Allocation (IDSMT)	Rs. Crore	100.00
Less Loan (MH 7601 and 7602)	Rs. Crore	1.10
Total Allocation (Grant)	Rs. Crore	98.90
Less NE States (10% of r/c 98.50)	Rs. Crore	9.85
Total Grant to UTs	Rs. Crore	0.40

(Rs. in crore)

S. No.	States	% of Popn. in SMT towns in State to all India (census 1991)	Allocation of funds
1.	Andhra Pradesh	9.22	8.42
2.	Bihar	4.19	3.83
3.	Chhattisgarh	2.11	1.93
4.	Goa	0.33	0.30
5.	Gujarat	5.60	5.11
6.	Haryana	2.37	2.16
7.	Himachal Pradesh	0.31	0.28
8.	Jammu & Kashmir	0.63	0.58
9.	Jharkhand	2.57	2.35
10.	Karnataka	6.45	5.89
11.	Kerala	4.41	4.03
12.	Madhya Pradesh	5.97	5.45
13.	Maharashtra	9.13	8.34
14.	Orissa	2.92	2.67
15.	Punjab	2.58	2.36
16.	Rajasthan	5.11	4.67
17.	Tamil Nadu	9.30	8.49
18.	Uttaranchal	1.13	1.03
19.	Uttar Pradesh	13.54	12.36
20.	West Bengal	9.22	8.42
21.	Union Territories		0.40
22.	North East States		9.85
	TOTAL		98.90

Statement-III

Towns covered, central assistance released and expenditure reported under IDSMT scheme since 1979-80 up to 2002-03.

(Rs. in lakhs)

S. No.	State	Towns Covered	C.A. Released	Expenditure Reported
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Andhra Pradesh	101	5619.26	8538.27
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	8	214.00	232.52
3.	Assam	35	1225.77	1233.59
4.	Bihar	36	1147.38	1124.98
5.	Chhattisgarh	24	1123.67	1402.81
6.	Goa	9	204.00	118.72
7.	Gujarat	75	3841.07	8203.71
8.	Haryana	21	1509.04	1493.84
9.	Himachal Pradesh	20	811.06	1042.17
10.	Jammu & Kashmir	10	642.22	753.29
11.	Jharkhand	13	418.76	439.58
12.	Karnataka	112	5262.32	4437.38
13.	Kerala	43	2300.06	3857.52
14.	Madhya Pradesh	97	3868.73	3451.63
15.	Maharashtra	131	7594.25	13077.81
16.	Manipur	22	632.60	631.52
17.	Meghalaya	8	41.50	554.10
18.	Mizoram	10	479.40	981.99
19.	Nagaland	9	350.99	618.91
20.	Orissa	62	2169.63	2420.76

1	2	3	4	5
21.	Punjab	35	1635.60	2806.20
22.	Rajasthan	56	2956.01	4815.79
23.	Sikkim	10	250.89	327.62
24.	Tamilnadu	132	5063.52	5911.38
25.	Tripura	13	530.81	818.36
26.	Uttaranchal	6	342.00	180.38
27.	Uttar Pradesh	138	5162.06	5337.48
28.	West Bengal	92	4317.04	5575.36
29.	Andaman & N. Islands	1	92.00	124.00
30.	Dadra & N. Haveli	2	112.22	16.38
31.	Daman & Diu	1	23.00	0.00
32.	Lakshadweep	1	25.00	0.00
33.	Pondicherry	7	240.75	159.55
	GRAND TOTAL	1340	60575.60	78687.60

CPWD services in VP house and MP flats

630. SHRI SK. KHABIR UDDIN AHMED: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of the fact that CPWD services to the residential flats, bungalows for Members of Parliament in V.P. House, North and South Avenue, Ferozeshah Road have deteriorated ever since job services have been handed over to the contractors who have developed close proximity with the engineers of CPWD;

(b) whether Government are also aware that nothing is made available immediately for the replacement of old, broken chairs, old curtains, sofa-sets, repair work and so on and MPs have to wait for days together; and

(c) the steps Government propose to take to improve the situation?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION (SHRI BANDARU